

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,862	02/19/2002	Max Roth	032553-021	2349	
21839 7.	590 07/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	I INGERSOLL PC BURNS, DOANE, SWE	DUONG, THO V			
POST OFFICE		CRER & MATING)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		3743		

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/049,862	ROTH, MAX	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tho v. Duong	3743	

	The V. Bueng	0140						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess					
THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follop laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	late of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of final Office action; or (2) a	fee under 37 is set forth in (b)					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	OTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		anantiant Amandonant	(DTOL 224)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		, - 4: 1 #1 - d - a - a - a - d - a -	4					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		vill be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>8-14,17-19,22,23,26 and 30</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-6,21 and 24</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Nation of Amand will a	at he entered					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence is	necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.					
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13.  Other:	٠	Manon	1					
		Tho v Duong Primary Examiner						

Art Unit: 3743

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amended claim 24 has raised new issue which require further consideration in as far as it would neccesitate a rejection that was not set forth in the Final Rejection mailed 3/23/2005. Furthermore, the amended claim 24 still renders the scope of the claim indefinite since it is not clear if the limitations of "punctate fastening", "by meshing the walls by material deformation" and "compression molded" are separated steps or are within the same step.